

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1. Purpose of and Need for Action — 1</b>	
Introduction.....	3
Purpose of the Action.....	3
Need for the Action.....	4
Direction for this Plan.....	7
Background Information.....	14
Interrelationship with Other Plans and Projects.....	16
Scope of this Environmental Impact Statement.....	18
<b>Chapter 2. Alternatives, Including the Preferred Alternatives — 21</b>	
Introduction.....	23
Criteria for Developing Alternatives .....	23
Elements Common to All Alternatives.....	30
Lees Ferry Alternatives (RM 0 to RM 226).....	36
Alternative A: No Action (Current conditions).....	37
Alternative B.....	39
Alternative C.....	41
Alternative D .....	43
Alternative E.....	45
Alternative F .....	47
Alternative G .....	49
Alternative H: NPS Preferred Alternative .....	51
Summary of the Lees Ferry Alternatives.....	53
Lower Gorge Alternatives (RM 226 to RM 277) .....	65
Criteria for Developing Alternatives .....	66
Elements Common to All Lower Gorge Alternatives .....	67
Alternative 1: No Action (Current Conditions).....	69
Alternative 2 .....	71
Alternative 3 .....	73
Alternative 4 .....	75
Alternative 5: Hualapai Tribe Proposed Action .....	77
Summary of the Lower Gorge Alternatives.....	79
The Environmentally Preferred Alternatives .....	86
Lees Ferry Alternatives.....	86
Lower Gorge Alternatives .....	92
Alternatives and Options Considered but Eliminated from Further Study.....	96
Alternatives Eliminated Because of Upper Bound Concerns.....	96
Alternatives Eliminated Because of Redundancy.....	96
Alternatives Eliminated Because of Cumulative Annual Use or Other Concerns .....	96
Supplemental Permit Distribution Options.....	97
Elements Independent of the Alternatives .....	98
Noncommercial Permit System Options .....	98
Initiatives Related to Culturally Affiliated American Indian Tribes .....	103

**Chapter 3. Affected Environment — 105**

Area of Analysis .....	107
Natural Resources .....	109
General Setting .....	109
Soils .....	109
Water Quality.....	115
Air Quality .....	119
Natural Soundscape .....	127
Cave Resources.....	130
Vegetation.....	132
Terrestrial Wildlife .....	137
Aquatic Resources .....	144
Endangered, Threatened, and Sensitive Species.....	148
Cultural Resources .....	159
Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek.....	159
Diamond Creek to Lake Mead.....	162
Visitor Use and Experience .....	164
Recreation Values .....	164
Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek (Zone 1).....	166
Lower Gorge (Zones 2 and 3).....	184
Socioeconomic Conditions .....	194
Area of Analysis and Affected Populations.....	194
River-Runner Generated Economy.....	200
Park Management and Operations .....	205
Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek (Zone 1).....	205
Lower Gorge (Zones 2, 3 and 4).....	208
Adjacent Lands and Jurisdictions .....	210
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation .....	210
United States Geological Survey .....	210
Other NPS Entities.....	211
Bureau of Land Management .....	212
U.S. Forest Service — Kaibab National Forest.....	213
Navajo Indian Reservation .....	214
Havasupai Indian Reservation .....	214
Hualapai Indian Reservation.....	214

**Maps**

Regional Map/Area of Analysis.....	5
Management Zones .....	34

## Figures

Figure 2-1: Actual Trips Launching per Day (1999 – 2002) .....	29
Figure 2-2. Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative A .....	37
Figure 2-3: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative B .....	39
Figure 2-4: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative C .....	41
Figure 2-5: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative D .....	43
Figure 2-6: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative E .....	45
Figure 2-7: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative F .....	47
Figure 2-8: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative G .....	49
Figure 2-9: Average and Maximum Launches per Day by Trip Type — Alternative H .....	51
Figure 3-1: Tapeats Beach Size Comparison, 1952–1995 .....	113
Figure 3-2: Annual Ozone Exposure — Grand Canyon National Park .....	124
Figure 3-3: Commercial Motor Trip Group Size Distribution Chart .....	167
Figure 3-4: Commercial Non-Motor Group Size Distribution Chart, 1999–2002 .....	167
Figure 3-5: Noncommercial Group Distribution Chart, 1999–2002 .....	168
Figure 3-6: Commercial Motorized Trip Length Distribution Chart, 1999–2002 .....	169
Figure 3-7: Commercial Nonmotorized Trip Length Distribution Chart, 1999–2002 .....	169
Figure 3-8: Noncommercial Trip Length Distribution Chart, 1999–2002 .....	170
Figure 3-9: Where Commercial Passengers Joined River Trips, 1999–2002 .....	171
Figure 3-10: Where Commercial Passengers Left River Trips, 1999–2002 .....	172
Figure 3-11: Number of Camps by Size and Type — Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek .....	174
Figure 3-12: Number of Camp Types per Mile — Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek .....	175
Figure 3-13: Number of Camps by Type per Mile — Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek .....	176
Figure 3-14: Number of Camps of Different Sizes — 1973, 1983, and 1991 .....	177
Figure 3-15: Total River Users and User-Days by Year Since 1960 .....	181
Figure 3-16: River Users by Commercial and Noncommercial Sectors Since 1960 .....	181
Figure 3-17: Helicopter Use in Lava / Whitmore Area, 1987 – 2002 .....	183
Figure 3-18: Monthly User-Days in the Lower Gorge Based on Continuation Trip Information .....	191
Figure 3-19: Annual User-Days below Diamond Creek for Continuation Trips .....	191
Figure 3-20: Continuation Trip Takeouts on Lake Mead, June 2002 .....	192

## Tables

Table 1-1: Management Objectives — General Management Plan and Colorado River Management Plan .....	12
Table 2-1: How Allocation Options Meet Objectives .....	26
Table 2-2: Summary of Alternatives: Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek .....	36
Table 2-3: Comparison of Alternatives — Lees Ferry to Diamond Creek .....	53
Table 2-4: Summary Comparison of Environmental Impacts — Lees Ferry Alternatives .....	55
Table 2-5: How Well the Alternatives Meet Colorado River Management Plan Management Objectives — Lees Ferry Alternatives .....	58
Table 2-6: Comparison of Alternatives — Lower Gorge .....	79
Table 2-7: Summary Comparison of Environmental Impacts — Lower Gorge Alternatives .....	80

Table 2-8: How Well the Alternatives Meet Colorado River Management Plan Management Objectives — Lower Gorge Alternatives .....	82
Table 2-9: How Well the Lees Ferry Alternatives Meet NEPA Section 101(b) Criteria .....	89
Table 2-10: How Well the Lower Gorge Alternatives Meet NEPA Section 101(b) Criteria .....	94
Table 2-11: How Well Initial Permit Distribution Options Would Achieve Project Objectives 101	
Table 2-12: How Well the Transition System Would Achieve Project Objectives.....	102
Table 3-1: Federal and Arizona Ambient Air Quality Standards for Criteria Pollutants, and Available Data from Grand Canyon National Park, 1991–2000.....	121
Table 3-2: Grand Canyon and County Emissions of Air Pollutants.....	122
Table 3-3: Emissions Associated with Recreational Use of the Colorado River in Grand Canyon.....	123
Table 3-4: Summary of NPS Ambient Sound Level Measurement Data Selected Locations in Grand Canyon National Park, August–September 1992.....	128
Table 3-5: Commonly Experienced Sounds .....	129
Table 3-6: Common Native Vegetation Species in the Colorado River Corridor in Grand Canyon National Park .....	133
Table 3-7: Common Native Wildlife Species in the Colorado River Corridor in Grand Canyon National Park .....	138
Table 3-8: Characteristics of the Colorado River before and after Glen Canyon Dam Construction .....	144
Table 3-9: Common Introduced Fish Species in the Colorado River in Grand Canyon .....	147
Table 3-10: Endangered, Threatened, and Other Special Status Species Known to or Likely to Occur in the Colorado River Corridor in Grand Canyon National Park.....	148
Table 3-11: Commercial and Noncommercial Primary and Secondary Seasons .....	170
Table 3-12: Summary of Trip Types and Activities in the Lower Gorge.....	185
Table 3-13: Lower Gorge Camps, Attraction Sites, and Facilities .....	189
Table 3-14: Development at the Quartermaster Area .....	190
Table 3-15: HRR, Pontoon Tour, and Look-and-Leave Tour Visitation Estimates .....	193
Table 3-16: Population of Major Communities within the Grand Canyon Affected Region....	195
Table 3-17: Employment, Income, and Output by Industrial Sector for the Grand Canyon Affected Region.....	195
Table 3-18: Demographic Data on the Town of Peach Springs, the Hualapai Reservation, the Havasupai Reservation, and Coconino County .....	197
Table 3-19: Most Popular Commercial Operators Trip Configurations from 1998 to 2001 .....	203
Table 3-20: Current River Management Activities and Responsible Park Divisions .....	205
Table 3-21: Summary of Administrative Use, 2000–2003 .....	207

## **Photos**

Photo 3-1: Yellow-breasted Chat.....	143
Photo 3-2: Kanab Ambersnail.....	150
Photo 3-3: Humpback Chub.....	150
Photo 3-4: Peregrine Falcon.....	151
Photo 3-5: Bald Eagle .....	152
Photo 3-6: California Condor.....	152
Photo 3-7: Mexican Spotted Owl.....	153
Photo 3-8: Southwestern Willow Flycatcher .....	153
Photo 3-9: Townsend's Big-eared Bat.....	154

